CUTLER DESIGNS
RUP B. CUTLER, ARCHITECT
38 UNION ST. MANCHESTER, MASS. 01944
TEL. 526-1521

MESSAGE REPLY

TO Harold Weisberg
Route 8
Frederick Md

DATE VIII/21/69

HW : Menawhile, as I warned him, they turn off decent people who know them, including all who are doing any real work. Bud will have to chnage on this. But, he is as stubborn as he is fine. Believe me, on the personal level we work together. He is filing a suit for me. It took him a year to get around to it, but he is doing it. He must have felt earlier the time was not right. Best,

It is difficult for chickens to cohabitate with hawks. Only the very foolish try it more than once. I've had my own experiences with some of Bud's committee. With him personally I get along fine. Until he does something about his committee it can do nothing. We have discussed this frankly, from the first. Bad people do not do good things. Your point about the picture is irrefutable, but the man who told me of the repaving had no ax to grind. He was a tourist who took pictures. I haven't seen him again to get them. He is not a buff, has no personal interest. You and Arthur were very kind, and I was glad to be with you both again. Hope next time is sooner. Please tell him if he has any trouble getting the pictures we discussed to let me know. I'll be in DC again next week and will order them for him if he cannot get them. But anyone connected with me gets bad service. However, because the shaking up I've been ~~xxxxx~~ causing has them a little disturbed, others are now getting better service, so he should not have trouble. Bud's hope was that by including what he regarded as "names" on his board he would attract financing and support. They are figureheads and they are and have proven to be entirely without influence.

great to have dinner with you last night ... I'm purposely taking up as much space as I can so you'll not have evry much left to reply... I wish somehow there was a chance of your working more with Bud's committee and less by yourself but I don't know how to influence you into this concept which I see as almost a 'must' if ever there is to be a congressional investigation..... however this dilemma will be resolved is unclear to me now but with more time possibly it will unfog ... just to be certain that you discount the 12 lines on Nov 22 vs 13 lines (pairs of, that is) after a re-paving job I have an airphoto taken July 1963 showing ~~xxxx~~ eight pairs of lines as far as the third light pole and that means 13 to the underpass so whoever told you about the re-paving and re-painting was interested only in sensationalism and advancing his unresearched ideas... my photo does not show because of shadow the final five pairs but I know they are ~~xxxxx~~ there simply because of the overall relationship of things as they are ... pardon my being nit-picky about this but I do want you to always have nothing but the correct dope no matter how small the detail.... take care and I'll visit you in the fall ...

SIGNED

FORM AVAILABLE FROM GRAYARC CO., INC.
862 THIRD AVE., B'KLYN., N. Y. 11232

THIS COPY FOR PERSON ADDRESSED